# 2015-16 Single Plan for Student Achievement

# **Rocklin High School**

School Name

31750853130150 CDS Code

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of performance goals established under the California Academic Performance Index. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the School and Library Improvement Block Grant, the Pupil Retention Block Grant, the Consolidated Application, and NCLB Program Improvement into the Single Plan for Student Achievement.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Davis Stewart
Position: Principal
Telephone Number: 916.632.1600
Address: 5301 Victory Ln.

Rocklin, CA 95765-4988

E-mail Address: dstewart@rocklin.k12.ca.us

## **Rocklin Unified School District**

School District

Superintendent: Roger Stock Telephone Number: (916) 624-2428

Address: 2615 Sierra Meadows Drive

Rocklin, CA 95677

E-mail Address: rstock@rocklin.k12.ca.us

Entered Program Improvement (PI Schools Only) -

Revised (PI Schools Only) -

Re-Approved by School Board (PI Schools Only) -

The District Governing Board approved this School Plan on December 16, 2015.

#### II. School Vision and Mission

### Mission

The Rocklin High School Community inspires continuous intellectual, personal and social development. To that end, we build for success on the pillars of character, communication, commitment, curriculum, challenge, and community.

#### **RHS Pillars of Success**

Character
Responsible Citizens
Honor
Integrity
Honesty
Responsibility
Healthy Behaviors
Positive Choices
Respect for Diversity

Challenge
Constructive Thinkers
Mastery Learning
Question, analyze, synthesize, evaluate
Build meaning and understanding
Enriched by art, athletics and activities
Solve problems

Community
Collaborative workers
Supportive learning environment
Celebrate success/excellence
Cooperative group member
Collaborative culture
Demonstrate tolerance
Promote safety and security
Contribute time and energy
Care for physical environment

Communication
Effective communicators
Express ideas clearly
Convey messages through a variety of media
Listen actively
Respond to others
Mutual Respect

Curriculum
Self directed Learners
Intellectual Development
Varied and Challenging
Engaging design and instruction
Enthusiastic learners
Set and reach goals
Appreciate diversity
Transfer knowledge

## Commitment

Quality producers and performers
Committed to the success of every student
All students and adults will learn
Unconditional positive regard
Maintain high standards
Works both independently and collaboratively

#### III. School Profile

### School Profile

Rocklin Community --The city of Rocklin lies 20 miles northeast of Sacramento. With a population of 58,295, Rocklin boasts the best of both a rural community and a thriving suburban city. Most of Rocklin is newly developed in the past sixteen years, yet it is rich in history and community purpose. While Rocklin is a rapidly growing city, it maintains the small town atmosphere of a closely-knit community dedicated to the best in education, recreation, and family life. Sierra Community College is located in Rocklin, which provides many opportunities for high school students to get a glimpse of college life and enrich their learning experiences while attending Rocklin High School.

Rocklin High School – A California Distinguished School, Rocklin High School is a four-year comprehensive public high school with an enrollment of 1875. Rocklin High School was the first high school in the Rocklin Unified School District, opening its doors in 1993. Over a period of 20 years, Rocklin High School has established itself as an exceptional academic institution, boasting an API score of 894. These scores have ranked Rocklin High School as #1 in Placer County for 9 years in a row. The academic year is based on two semesters, each approximately 18 weeks in length. Rocklin High School is on an 8 period rotating-block schedule with class periods of 80 minutes and a 30 minute student support session (Plus Period).

School Staff -- Credentialed staff includes 74 full-time teachers, 10 part-time teachers, 4 administrators, 4 counselors, 1 activity director, and 1.5 psychologists. 49% of the professional staff has earned a master's degree or higher. Through ongoing staff development and curriculum leadership, we have continued to improve our programs, student relations, and parent communications.

Philosophy – Rocklin High School prides itself on staying up to date and on the cutting edge of education. The system of education at Rocklin High School is driven by performance-based standards as mandated by the California Department of Education and the RUSD Board of Trustees. The core of our educational philosophy rests on the belief that all students will master the learning associated with the common set of standards. The curriculum, instruction, assessment, and parental reporting system are designed accordingly. The community is proud that Rocklin High School is a school where all students learn and grow academically, socially, and emotionally within a positive, nurturing learning environment.

Curriculum --The Rocklin High School curriculum was developed by the original curriculum committee and adopted by the Board of Trustees in March 1993. This curriculum is based on a Mastery Learning system that incorporates information taken from the California State Frameworks, UC and CSU admission requirements, and California State Department of Education's document Second to None. As our school has evolved the curriculum has continued to develop meeting the challenges of No Child Left Behind. Using this system ESLR's were embedded throughout the school's curriculum in the form of Essential Skills Assignments. Students must master these standards. Curriculum is continually evaluated to ensure it is aligned with State Standards.

Graduation Requirements -- All students at Rocklin High School must complete 250 credits for graduation. These credit requirements include 40 credits in Language Arts, 30 in Science, 30 in Math (students must pass Algebra I and Geometry), 35 in Social Science, 5 in Health, 30 in Physical Education, 10 in Foreign Language, 10 in Technology, 10 in Visual and Performing Arts, and 50 in Elective.

- Rocklin High School students must also demonstrate competency in all Expected School Wide Learning Results (ESLR's).
- Rocklin High School requires students to perform a minimum of 25 hours of documented community service as a
  graduation requirement. 31% of the students from the Class of 2015 completed 150+ hours for a total of 46,000+
  hours and were recognized for their distinguished community service.

Academic Honors Courses – Advanced and Honors courses are offered at all grade levels in Language Arts, Math, Foreign Language, Science, and Digital Art.

AP Courses – Rocklin High School currently offers 22 AP courses on campus. These courses include: Studio Art, Studio Art 2 D Design, Calculus AB, Calculus BC, Statistics, English Literature, Biology, Chemistry, Environmental Science, Physics I, Physics II, Physics CM, Physics CE-M, Music Theory, Psychology, Spanish, World History, US History, Microeconomics, Government and Computer Science. In 2014/15 out of the 1289 AP exams administered, 70% earned a passing score of 3 or better. The breakdown of the passing scores was as follows:

20%

Grading System -- Rocklin High School uses both weighted (5.0) and un-weighted (4.0) grade point averages. Our grade range is: A = distinguished; B = commendable; C = mastery; and NC = the student has not met the minimum standard for the course. W = Withdrawal from the course.

Rocklin High School earned a 6 from our Western Association of Schools and Colleges accreditation in spring of 2012.

Academic Achievement -- 92% of the Rocklin High School Class of 2015 entered college the year following graduation. Approximately 52% entered a four-year college or university. The average weighted academic GPA of the valedictorians from the class of 2015 was 4.48.

SAT and ACT results for the Class of 2015

SAT I – 283 tested SAT II – 45 tested

Math Mean Score 560 Critical Reading Mean Score 537 Writing Mean Score 527

ACT - 184 tested

English 24.5 Math 25.3 Reading 24.4 Science 24.4 Composite 24.8

Scholarships – The class of 2015 was offered \$4,082,912 in scholarships.

See ATTACHMENT A -- School Goal #1

See ATTACHMENT B -- School Goal #2

See ATTACHMENT C -- School Goal #3

## IV. Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index and adequate yearly progress growth targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

#### DISTRICT GOAL

(Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)

To align our Single plan for Student Achievement to the LCAP Priorities, including the following District Strategic Priority Objective and Strategies;

- Engage in authentic learning experiences
- Demonstrate continuous progress toward increasingly challenging academic goals
- Find his or her passion as a learner
- Acquire skills to conquer challenges and build healthy relationships
- Learn the value of contributing to community through active participation

We will create student academic growth through dynamic, relevant and increasingly challenging learning experiences.

We will provide a variety of opportunities for all students to become healthy, self-aware, resilient and high-functioning adults

(Each school site please list your numerically significant LCAP subgroups)

- 1. Hispanic
- 2. Asian
- 3. White
- 4. English Learners
- 5. Free & Reduced Meal Program

۵

7.

8.

9.

10.

#### SCHOOL GOAL #1

(Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)

See Attachment "A" - A schoolwide focus to improve the overall academic success rate of all students.

What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?	How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?  Match Strategic Priority #1 & #2
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?

Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).

SCHOOL GOAL #1				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

#### **DISTRICT GOAL**

#### (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)

To align our Single plan for Student Achievement to the LCAP Priorities, including the following District Strategic Priority Objective and Strategies;

- Engage in authentic learning experiences
- Demonstrate continuous progress toward increasingly challenging academic goals
- Find his or her passion as a learner
- Acquire skills to conquer challenges and build healthy relationships
- Learn the value of contributing to community through active participation

We will create student academic growth through dynamic, relevant and increasingly challenging learning experiences.

We will provide a variety of opportunities for all students to become healthy, self-aware, resilient and high-functioning adults

(Each school site please list your numerically significant LCAP subgroups)

- 1. Hispanic
- 2. Asian
- 3. White
- 4. English Learners
- 5. Free & Reduced Meal Program
- 6
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.

#### **SCHOOL GOAL #2**

## (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)

See ATTACHMENT B --Implementation of California Common Core Reading, Writing, Listening and Speaking strategies for instruction to increase the literacy rates of all students.

What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?	How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?  Match Strategic Priority #1 & #2
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?
Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).

SCHOOL GOAL #2				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

SCHOOL GOAL #3 (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs) See ATTACHMENT C - Support the social-emotional needs of students while ensuring a positive, safe and healthy environment.			
What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?  How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?  Match Strategic Priority #1 & #2			
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?		
Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?		
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).		

SCHOOL GOAL #3				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

SCHOOL GOAL #4 (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)			
What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?	How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?		
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?		
Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?		
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).		

SCHOOL GOAL #4				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

SCHOOL GOAL #5 (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)			
What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?	How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?		
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?		
Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?		
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).		

SCHOOL GOAL #5				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

SCHOOL GOAL #6 (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs)			
What data did you use to form this goal (findings from data analysis)?	How does this goal align to your Local Educational Agency Plan goals?		
What did the analysis of the data reveal that led you to this goal?	Which stakeholders were involved in analyzing data and developing this goal?		
Who are the focus students and what is the expected growth?	What data will be collected to measure student achievement?		
What process will you use to monitor and evaluate the data?	Actions to improve achievement to exit program improvement (if applicable).		

SCHOOL GOAL #6				
Strategies/Actions to Implement this Goal	Start Date Completion Date	Object Type	Each Funding Source and Amount	Process for Evaluation

## Part VI. Centralized Services Goals

# Centralized Support for Planned Improvements in Student Performance for LCAP Subgroup

The school site council has analyzed the planned program improvements and has adopted the following program support goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of student groups not meeting state standards:

Program Support Goal #1 (Goals should be prioritized, measurable, and focused on identified student learning needs) Ensure all students of need, including English Learners, Foster Youth and students of poverty have access to meaningful core academics and achieve California Common Core State Standards (CCCSS) to close the achievement gap as rapidly as possible.								
Groups participating in this goal (e.g., students, parents, teachers, administrators): English Learners Students of Poverty (Free and Reduced Lunch) Foster Youth	Anticipated annual growth for each group: Grade level benchmarks							
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Annual review of district benchmark data Annual review of CAASPP assessments Progress monitoring measures (Ren Place) Eadms progress reports	Group data to be collected to measure gains: Place- STAR Reading and Math SBAC Interim Assessments SBAC Operational Assessments							

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)		Proposed Ex	cpenditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Meet the needs of our targeted three subgroups	Review every fall	District Level Administration Site Level Administration Teachers Instructional Aides	Ensure all EL students are placed with certified and qualified teachers	None Specified	None Specified	0
			Provide Professional Development, ongoing coaching and continual lesson preparatior and design to focus on differentiated instruction.	Professional/Cons ulting Services And Operating Expenditures	LCFF-EL	80,000
					LCFF-EL	20,000
			Assess and	5800: Professional/Cons ulting Services And Operating Expenditures	LCFF-EL	2,000
			Providing support for families and students before, after, during school calendar days.		LCFF-EL	20,000
			SAME	2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	LCFF-EL	10,000
			SAME	3000-3999: Employee Benefits	LCFF-EL	5,000
			SAME	4000-4999: Books And Supplies		15,000
			SAME		LCFF-EL	20,000
			Provide Afterschool		None Specified	0

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)		Proposed E	Expenditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Type	Funding Source	e Amount
			tutoring from PCOE for Foster Youths. Provide Free Lunch and Free Bus Pass from RUSD Food Services and	0001-0999: Unrestricted: Locally Defined	District Funded	0
			transportation Departments for Foster Youths. Foster Youths are to be immediately enrolled in their school of origin	•	None Specified	0
			and have the right to matriculate with their peers from elementary to middle to high school.			
			Transportation from out of district to school of origin is not provided unless student is also identified			
			McKinney Vento. High School Foster Youth students who enroll in their Junior or Senior			
			year can request a waiver of local grad requirements and graduate with state graduate requirements	3		
			(when appropriate). Provide Free Lunch and Free Bus Pass from RUSD Food	None Specified	None Specified	0

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)					
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount		
			Services and Transportation Departments to our Qualified Students with Low Income Provide site level interventions to Students with Low Income that qualifiy based on academic need	None Specified		0		

See Appendix B: Chart of Requirements for the SPSA for content required by each program or funding source supporting this goal.
 List the date an action will be taken or will begin, and the date it will be completed.

## **School and Student Performance Data**

# **CAASPP Results (All Students)**

# **English Language Arts/Literacy**

	Overall Achievement									
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	% of Enrolled Students Tested	# of Students With Scores	Mean Scale Score	Standard Exceeded	Standard Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Not Met	
Grade 11	432	413	95.6	412	2675.9	54	33	8	4	
All Grades	432	413	95.6	412		54	33	8	4	

	READING			WRITING		LISTENING			RESEARCH/INQUIRY			
Grade Level	Demonstrating understanding of literary & non-fictional texts		Producing clear and purposeful writing		Demonstrating effective communication skills		Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information					
	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard
Grade 11	55	39	5	59	35	5	36	59	6	68	28	4
All Grades	55	39	5	59	35	5	36	59	6	68	28	4

## **Conclusions based on this data:**

- 1. 87% at, near or above standards.
- 2. Continue working with students on improving literacy skills across the curriculum to meet common core standards.
- 3. Continue working with students on improving critical thinking skills across the curriculum to meet common core standards.

## **School and Student Performance Data**

# **CAASPP Results (All Students)**

## **Mathematics**

	Overall Achievement									
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	% of Enrolled Students Tested	# of Students With Scores	Mean Scale Score	Standard Exceeded	Standard Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Not Met	
Grade 11	432	409	94.7	409	2656.4	30	34	23	13	
All Grades	432	409	94.7	409		30	34	23	13	

	CONCEPTS & PROCEDURES			MODE	PROBLEM SOLVING & MODELING/DATA ANALYSIS			COMMUNICATING REASONING		
Grade Level	Applying mathematical concepts and procedures		Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems			Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions				
	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	
Grade 11	44	39	18	33	57	11	35	57	8	
All Grades	44	39	18	33	57	11	35	57	8	

### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. 64% at, near or above standards.
- 2. Continue working with students on improving literacy skills across the curriculum to meet common core standards.
- 3. Continue working with students on improving critical thinking skills across the curriculum to meet common core standards.

# Appendix A - School and Student Performance Data

Table 2 - Title III Accountability (District Data)

AMAG 4	Annual Growth						
AMAO 1	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Number of Annual Testers	400	348					
Percent with Prior Year Data	99.8	99.7					
Number in Cohort	399	347					
Number Met	265	223					
Percent Met	66.4	64.3					
NCLB Target	57.5	59.0	60.5				
Met Target	Yes	Yes					

	Attaining English Proficiency										
AMAO 2	201	2-13	201	3-14	201	4-15					
AMAO 2	O 2 Years of EL instruction		Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL instruction						
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More					
Number in	324	163	306	125							
Number Met	112	100	86	81							
Percent Met	34.6	61.3	28.1	64.8							
NCLB Target	21.4	47.0	22.8	49.0	24.2	50.9					
Met Target	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

AMAG 2	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level						
AMAO 3	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
English-Language Arts							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No					
Mathematics							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No					
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	No					

# Appendix A - School and Student Performance Data (continued)

# Table 5: California English Language Development (CELDT) Data

	California English Language Development Test (CELDT) Results for 2014-15										
Grade	Adva	ınced	Early A	dvanced	Interm	ediate	Early Inte	ermediate	Begi	nning	Number Tested
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
9			5	50	4	40	1	10			10
10	2	17	7	58	1	8	2	17			12
11	1	13	4	50	1	13	1	13	1	13	8
12	2	50	1	25			1	25			4
Total	5	15	17	50	6	18	5	15	1	3	34

## **Appendix B - Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

This section lists statements about the instructional program adapted from NCLB, Title I, Part A and the related California Essential Program Components (EPC). To analyze these statements, the school site council will need information from a variety of sources (e.g., Survey Results, Library Plan, Master Plan, SARC).

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration was given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (NCLB)

Review: CST, CMA, CAPA, CELDT, to drive instructional practices.

2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Review: CST, CMA, CAPA, CELDT, to drive instructional practices.

### Staffing and Professional Development

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (NCLB)

13/14: 100% HQT

4. Principals' Assembly Bill (AB) 75 training on State Board of Education (SBE) adopted instructional materials (EPC)

NA

 Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to AB 466 training on SBEadopted instructional materials) (EPC)

NA

6. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (NCLB)

District staff development is currently focused on Common Core Standards for all teachers district-wide

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches)
 (EPC)

Additional site staff development provided by the district as needed

8. Teacher collaboration by grade level (EPC)

Professional Learning Communities in place at all grade levels.

### Teaching and Learning

9. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (NCLB)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum.

10. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (EPC)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum.

11. Lesson pacing schedule (EPC)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum.

12. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (NCLB)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum.

13. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials (EPC)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum.

### Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

- 14. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (NCLB)
- Access to technology
- Target services and programs to the lowest performing student groups in the regular program
- Target categorical services and programs to the lowest performing student groups
- Daily schedule and master schedule flexibility for a sufficient number of intervention courses known as "Intervention within the school day"
- 15. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement at this school (NCLB)

Use of State Adopted Curriculum PLC Teams
Differentiation

16. Opportunities for increased learning time (Title I SWP and PI requirement)

Extended Day Kindergarten Afterschool Intervention opportunities

17. Transition from preschool to kindergarten (Title I SWP)

NA

#### Involvement

18. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (NCLB)

Community Partners

19. Strategies to increase parental involvement (Title I SWP)

NA

20. Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, and other school personnel in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of consolidated application programs (5 CCR 3932)

School Site Council reviews all categorical programs included in this plan.

#### **Funding**

- 21. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (NCLB)
  - SLIP/LCFF: Instrucational Aides and supplemental materials
- 22. Fiscal support (EPC)

District provides additional funding for intervention support.

# Appendix C - Programs Included in this Plan

Check the box for each federal categorical program in which the school <u>participates</u> and, if applicable, enter amounts allocated. (The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal categorical program in which the school <u>participates</u>. If the school receives funding, then the plan must include the proposed expenditures.)

	Federal Programs under No Child Left Behind (NCLB)	Allocation
	Title I, Neglected <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement instruction for abandoned, abused, or neglected children who have been placed in an institution	\$
	Title I, Part D: Delinquent <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement instruction for delinquent youth	\$
	Title I, Part A: Schoolwide Program <u>Purpose</u> : Upgrade the entire educational program of eligible schools in high poverty areas	\$
False	Title I, Part A: Targeted Assistance Program <u>Purpose</u> : Help educationally disadvantaged students in eligible schools achieve grade level proficiency	\$
	Title I, Part A: Program Improvement <u>Purpose</u> : Assist Title I schools that have failed to meet ESEA Adequate Yearly Progress targets for one or more identified student groups	\$
	Title II, Part A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting <u>Purpose</u> : Improve and increase the number of highly qualified teachers and principals	\$
	Title II, Part D: Enhancing Education Through Technology <u>Purpose</u> : Support professional development and the use of technology	\$
	Title III, Part A: Language Instruction for Limited-English-Proficient (LEP) Students <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement language instruction to help LEP students attain English proficiency and meet academic performance standards	\$
	Title IV, Part A: Safe And Drug-Free Schools And Communities <u>Purpose</u> : Support Learning Environments That Promote Academic Achievement. This program is no longer funded begginning with the 2010-11 school-year.	\$
	Title V: Innovative Programs <u>Purpose</u> : Support educational improvement, library, media, and at-risk students	\$
	Title VI, Part B: Rural Education Achievement <a href="Purpose">Purpose</a> : Provide flexibility in the use of ESEA funds to eligible local educational agencies	\$
	Other Federal Funds (list and describe*	\$
Total an	nount of federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$

<sup>\*</sup> For example, special education funds used in a School-Based Coordinated Program to serve students not identified as individuals with exceptional needs.

# Appendix D - 2015-16 Final Categorical District Services Budget

	Title I			
Allocation				
Parent Involvement				
Carryover				
Total Allocation				
1100-1999 Certificated Salaries/benefits				
2100-2999 Instructional Aide Salary w/Benefits				
4100-4999 Materials & Supplies				
5100-5999 Services				
6100-6999 Capital Outlay				
EXPENSE TOTALS:				
Allocation & Expense Difference:	0	0	0	0

# Appendix E - 2015-16 Preliminary Categorical District Services Budget

	Title I			
Allocation				
Parent Involvement				
Carryover				
Total Allocation				
1100-1999 Certificated Salaries/benefits				
2100-2999 Instructional Aide Salary w/Benefits				
4100-4999 Materials & Supplies				
5100-5999 Services				
6100-6999 Capital Outlay				
EXPENSE TOTALS:				
Allocation & Expense Difference:	0	0	0	0

## Appendix F - Recommendations and Assurances (Rocklin High School)

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

	State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
Χ	English Learner Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Special Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
		Signature
	Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
		Signature
Χ	Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
	Leadership teams of teachers	Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on: November 17, 2015

Attested:		
Davis Stewart, Principal		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Bill Chisum		_
Typed Name of SSC Chairnerson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date

# Appendix G - Home/School Compact

It is important that families and schools work together to help students achieve high academic standards.	Through a
process that included teachers, families, and students, the following are agreed upon roles and responsibilities	that we, as
partners, will carry out to support student success in school and life.	

Student Pledge:
Parents Pledge:
Staff Pledge:

## Appendix H - School Site Council Membership: Rocklin High School

Education Code Section 64001 requires that the SPSA be reviewed and updated at least annually, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the through the Consolidated Application, by the school site council. The current make-up of the council is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Davis Stewart	Х				
Louise Cranston		Х			
Jeremy Jeffreys		X			
Ryan Spears		Х			
Tim Wirth			Χ		
Linda Follis			Χ		
Bill Chisum				Х	
Lori mcDevitt				Х	
Diana Ruslin				X	
Bob Barnes				X	
Arabella Martinez					Χ
Nicole Cartan					Χ
Lauren Schaak					Χ
Tucker Bohatch					Χ
Numbers of members of each category	1	3	2	4	4

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# Appendix I - WASC High School Accreditation Crosswalk: Schools Conducting a Full Self-Study

For high schools, the SPSA should integrate major growth areas resulting from the WASC/CDE self-study and the visiting committee's identified critical areas for follow-up. The SPSA process can be done in tandem with the WASC/CDE Focus on Learning (FOL) Process Guide. The table below describes the alignment of the FOL Process with the expectations of the SPSA.

Section	SPSA Guide	Coordinating timelines	Focus on Learning Process Guide (References from WASC/CDE Focus on Learning 2009, Fall Update Edition)
Introduction	School plans must contain all federal and state planning requirements for programs offered at the site. In California, use of the SPSA fulfills these requirements.		For California public schools that are WASC accredited, the expectation of the Accrediting Commission for Schools, WASC, is that the findings from the self-study will result in refinement of the SPSA.
			Overview: Schools are required to annually review progress. The SPSA shall address how funds provided to the school through the ConApp will be used to improve the academic performance of all pupils to the level of the performance goals, as established by the Academic Performance Index. The SPSA required by this section shall be reviewed annually and updated, including proposed expenditure of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, by the SCC. The SPSA shall be reviewed and approved by the governing board of the local education agency at a regularly scheduled meeting whenever there are material changes that affect the academic programs for students. The SPSA shall also identify the schools' means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing those goals and how state and federal law governing these programs will be implemented.  WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 3–4
Step One	Analyze Student Achievement Data:	to the site visit—data to	Task 1: Based on the findings of the SPSA data analysis, refine student/community profile; identify two to three critical academic needs.  WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 37–43
		SPSA: based upon release of STAR data from the previous year's assessment	
Step Two		of the year prior to the	Task 1 (Cont.): Use findings from the updated student/community profile including the two to three identified critical academic needs, as appropriate.
	The SSC conducts an academic needs assessment (may use state tools such as the APS of the instructional program and identifies, by analyzing achievement data and survey data,	September data, or upon identification of	
	academic challenges and student subgroups failing to achieve standards.	using tools such as the APS to identify critical causes of student underachievement in ELA and mathematics.	<b>Task 3</b> : Analyze the quality of the school program in relation to the WASC/CDE criteria with emphasis on the identified critical academic needs; synthesize the
Step Three			Task 3 (Cont.)        determine         strengths         (of the academic program)           and growth needs and identify potential         action         steps.         (WASC/CDE         Self-Study

Section	SPSA Guide	Coordinating timelines	Focus on Learning Process Guide (References from WASC/CDE Focus on Learning 2009, Fall Update Edition)
	Identify three to five achievement goals and select appropriate strategies to achieve those goals.		Product: Chapter IV: Self-Study Findings) WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 47–49
Step Four	Define Timelines, Benchmarks, Personnel and Proposed Expenditures and Funding Sources to Implement the Plan:  In order to implement the SPSA, the SSC must identify target completion dates, persons who will be responsible to ensure timely completion, and estimated costs with funding sources for each step in the plan.		Task 4: Revise the SPSA. (WASC/CDE Self-Study Product: Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan, A & B) WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 51–54 Follow-up after Visit: refine SPSA to integrate critical academic area recommendations identified by the Visiting Committee. WASC/CDE, 2009 Edition, page 161–163
Step Five	Recommend the SPSA to the Local Governing Board		Follow-up after Visit (Cont.): Revised SPS A sent to WASC. WASC/CDE, 2009 Edition, page 161
Step Six	Implement the SPSA:	monitoring of the SPSA is determined by the	Task 4: Monitor implementation of schoolwide action plan. (WASC/CDE Self-Study Product: Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan, C)  WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 51–54  Ongoing Improvement:
			WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, page 163
Step Seven	Monitor Implementation for progress to achieve benchmarks:  Monitoring will be made easier if the plan specifies actions, dates, and estimated costs and measurable anticipated student academic outcomes, as well as personnel involved and responsible  2009 Single Plan for Student Achievement, p.13. California Department of Education, Sacramento, CA.		Task 4: Monitor implementation and accomplishment of the schoolwide action plan. (WASC/CDE Self-Study Product: Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan, C) WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, pages 51–54  Ongoing Improvement: WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, page 163
	Continue the Cycle:  Periodically review progress on the implementation of the plan, determine whether the actions are having the desired effects, and make revisions as needed.	At least once per year.	Ongoing Improvement: Annually prepare a progress report based on implementation of the plan and impact on student achievement. Revise plan as needed.  WASC/CDE Focus on Learning, 2009 Edition, page 163

**School Goal #1:** A schoolwide focus to improve the overall academic success rate of all students.

Rationale: The numbers of students receiving one or more NC grades, the number of students meeting the UC/CSU "a-g" requirements, EL student progress, AP pass rates, and enrichment strategies will be reviewed annually to measure school and instructional effectiveness (see attachment D for achievement data and attachment E for NM data)

Link to ESLRs: All ESLRs

Action Plan Goal:	Strategies and Action Steps	Responsibility Monitoring	Time/Resources	Evidence of Effectiveness
Our Reality:  Rocklin High School students have consistently made impressive gains on their state and national exams.  Nevertheless Rocklin High School desires to continually re-evaluate its instructional graphics in a effort to	1.1 - Identify those students performing below standard levels of performance. Identify strategies and curricula to support student performance on the state and national exams.	<ul> <li>Leadership Team</li> <li>Department Chairs</li> <li>Collaborative department teams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>September each year, and ongoing.</li> <li>Collaboration time, lesson plans.</li> </ul>	- Upward movement on the CAASPP state and national exams.
instructional practices in an effort to keep all groups continually improving.  Our Goal:  Increase numbers of students	1.2 - Review grade exception reports (list of NCs). Identify strategies and interventions to reduce the numbers of NCs per student.		- Quarterly - Ongoing.	<ul> <li>Increased numbers of students passing more classes.</li> <li>Higher graduation rate.</li> </ul>
passing more classes  ✓ Increase numbers of students meeting the UC/CSU "a-g" requirements	1.3 - Review list of students not meeting the UC/CSU "a-g" requirements. Provide support for students who are challenged by the rigor of "a-g" courses.	<ul> <li>Leadership Team</li> <li>Counseling Department</li> <li>Department Chairs</li> <li>Collaborative department teams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Annually by each spring and ongoing.</li> <li>RUSD Six-Year Plan.</li> </ul>	- Increased numbers of students meeting UC/CSU "a-g" requirements
✓ Increase the academic performance of our EL students	1.4 - Evaluate EL student program and identify and implement strategies to enhance student achievement.	<ul><li>Leadership Team</li><li>Department Chairs</li><li>District EL Coordinator</li><li>EL teachers</li></ul>	- Quarterly - Ongoing	<ul> <li>Decrease in the number of NMs/NCs assigned to EL students</li> <li>Increase in CELDT scores</li> </ul>
✓ Maintain or increase the pass rates of AP exam test takers				

1	Establish a criteria for effective enrichment strategies	at which students are passing AP exams. Identify and implement strategies to enhance student achievement.		Leadership Team Department Chairs AP Coordinator AP teachers	- Annually	-	Overall AP exam pass rates of 80% or greater
		1.6- Review and broaden enrichment strategies to enhance school culture, college and career readiness, and academic achievement	- - -	Enrichment coordinator Administration Leadership Team	- Annually	-	Increased enrichment offerings Increased participation in advanced programs Graduation Survey Data
		1.7 – Placement of students in the proper course level to ensure a challenging learning environment while recognizing students' areas for growth, i.e., intervention, regular, honors, AP.	- - -	Department Chairs Administration Counseling Middle School Representaives	- Annually	-	Increased pass rates and decreased number of class to class transfers after the beginning of the year.

<u>School Goal #2:</u> Implementation of California Common Core Reading, Writing, Listening and Speaking strategies for instruction to increase the literacy rates of all students.

<u>Rationale:</u> Our school goal and the goal of CCCSS are to increase the literacy skills in the areas of reading, writing, literacy and speaking to acquire the skills necessary for college and career advancement.

Link to ESLRs: All ESLRs

Action Plan Goal:	Strategies and Action Steps	Responsibility Monitoring	Time/Resources	Evidence of Effectiveness
Our Reality: Rocklin High School students are now taking the CAASPP exam. We only have one year of data for our 11 <sup>th</sup> grade students. Our teachers have been receiving ongoing staff development and implementing California Common Core State Standards in their classrooms.  Our Goal:  ✓ Increase our students' ability	2.1 – Students will be taught and use close read information text strategies.	- Department Heads, Administration, Staff.	- Reviewed annually in August.	<ul> <li>Teacher-Developed         Assessments     </li> <li>CAHSEE Results</li> <li>CAASPP Benchmark         testing.     </li> </ul>
	2.2 – Students will write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or text, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence.	- Department Heads, Administration, Staff.	- Reviewed annually in August.	<ul> <li>Teacher-Developed         Assessments</li> <li>CAHSEE Results</li> <li>CAASPP Benchmark         testing.</li> </ul>
to read and comprehend informational text.  Increase our students' ability to write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient	2.3 – Students will initiate and participate in a range of collaborative discussions (one on one, in groups and leader led) using text and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.	- Department Heads, Administration, Staff.	- Reviewed annually in August.	<ul> <li>Teacher-Developed     Assessments</li> <li>CAHSEE Results</li> <li>CAASPP Benchmark     testing.</li> </ul>
evidence.  ✓ Increase our students' ability to initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions.	2.4 – Mathematic students will understand and use stated assumptions, definitions and previously established results in constructing arguments. (SMP #3)	- Department Heads, Administration, Staff.	- Reviewed annually in August.	<ul> <li>Teacher-Developed         Assessments     </li> <li>CAHSEE Results</li> <li>CAASPP Benchmark         testing.     </li> </ul>
	2.5 -	-	-	-

**School Goal #3:** Support the social-emotional needs of students while ensuring a positive, safe, and healthy environment.

Rationale: Educating "the whole child" encompasses not only those skills that lead to academic success, but also those skills that will lead to a capacity to positively engage and influence themselves, their community, and the larger world around them during and after they attend Rocklin High.

Link to ESLRs: All ESLRs

Action Plan Goal:	Strategies and Action Steps	Responsibility Monitoring	Time/Resources	Evidence of Effectiveness
Our Reality: Rocklin High School annually provides clear expectations for student behavior and for a huge majority of our students Rocklin is a safe, supportive and engaging learning	3.1 - Ensure school environments are safe and welcoming for all students through student resiliency education. (Link)	- RHS Staff - Administration	- Annually by August of each year.	<ul> <li>California Healthy Kids         Survey responses.</li> <li>RHS Student Survey</li> <li>Student discipline data.</li> </ul>
environment. Our desire is to have <u>all</u> students build a common sense of purpose and identity to increase or improve the compassion and respect on our campus.	3.2 – Staff training for assisting with student harassment issues.	- RHS Staff - Administration	- Fall 2014 and ongoing	California Healthy Kids     Survey responses.     RHS Student Survey     Student discipline data.
Our Goal:  ✓ Ensure our school environment is safe and welcoming for all students	3.3 – Implement a school-wide anti-bullying program like "Breaking Down the Walls" and "Link"	<ul><li>RHS Staff</li><li>Administration</li></ul>	- Fall 2014 and ongoing	<ul> <li>California Healthy Kids         Survey responses.</li> <li>RHS Student Survey</li> <li>Student discipline data.</li> </ul>
which will result in a decrease in unexcused absences, improved interventions and prevent of bullying and harassment incidents.	3.4 – School signage, visitor protocols and emergency action plans reviewed and adjusted.	<ul><li>RHS Staff</li><li>Administration</li></ul>	- Fall 2014 and ongoing	<ul> <li>California Healthy Kids Survey responses.</li> <li>RHS Student Survey</li> <li>Student discipline data.</li> </ul>
Providing a variety of opportunities for all students to become healthy, selfaware, resilient and highfunctioning adults.	3.5 – Student reward system for positive school climate.	- RHS Staff - Administration	- Fall 2014 and ongoing	<ul> <li>California Healthy Kids Survey responses.</li> <li>RHS Student Survey</li> <li>Student discipline data.</li> </ul>

# ROCKLIN HIGH SCHOOL SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA January 21, 2014

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
80%	82%	77%	70%
AP EXAMS	ΓAKEN:		
<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
916	1,009	1,071	1,289

## **SAT RESULTS:**

<u>2012</u>		<u>2013</u>		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>	
Math Mean	569	Math Mean	545	Math Mean	561	Math Mean	560
Reading Mean:	540	Reading Mean	n 568	Reading Mean	1 546	Reading Mear	i 537
Writing Mean	532	Writing Mean	534	Writing Mean	537	Writing Mean	527

# **ACT RESULTS:**

<u>2012</u>		<u>2013</u>		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>	
English	25	English	24.4	English	24.9	English	24.5
Math	25.9	Math	25.6	Math	25.9	Math	25.3
Reading	25.2	Reading	25.0	Reading	25.3	Reading	24.4
Science	24.6	Science	23.9	Science	24.2	Science	24.4
Composite	25.3	Composite	24.8	Composite	25.2	Composite	24.8

# **A-G REQUIREMENTS:**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	
75%	74%	79%	78%	

## **CAHSEE RESULTS:**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Math 97%	Math 100%	Math 100%	Math 97%
English 91%	English 94%	English 94%	English 97%

# # OF NM/#STUDENTS WITH NM 2014-2015/2015-2016





